

DOCKET NO.: 10250-0001-20/rm



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

#13

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Arild O. GAUTESTAD

SERIAL NO: 09/409,898

GROUP: 2178

FILED: October 1, 1999

EXAMINER: C. B. PAULA

FOR: A METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PUBLICATION AND REVISION OF  
HIERARCHICALLY ORGANIZED SETS OF STATIC INTRANET AND  
INTERNET WEB PAGES

**LETTER**

Mail Stop DD  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RECEIVED**

JUL 10 2003

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

Submitted herewith is an European Office Action for the Examiner's consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No.: 28,870



22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220  
(OSMMN 05/03)

DOCUMENT2

David A. Blodeau  
Registration No. 42,325



EPA/EPO/OEB  
D-80298 München  
+49 89 2399-0  
TX 523 656 epmu d  
FAX +49 89 2399-4465

Europäisches  
Patentamt

Generaldirektion 2

European  
Patent Office

Directorate General 2

Office européen  
des brevets

Direction Générale 2

Winter, Brandl & Partner  
Patent- und Rechtsanwaltskanzlei  
Alois-Steinecker-Strasse 22  
85354 Freising  
ALLEMAGNE

WINTER, BRANDL, FÜRNISS, HUBNER  
ROSS, KANISCH, FOLTE PARTNERSCHAFT  
RECHTSANWALTSKANZLEI  
FREISING

Eing.: - 9. Mai 2003

Frist: .....

MUC
PO
KW
DD
HH
AL
J

Telephone Numbers:

Primary Examiner +49 89 2399-2172  
(substantive examination)

Formalities Officer / Assistant +49 89 2399-2647  
(Formalities and other matters)



Application No. 00 968 165.1-2201	Ref. 58NE9101	Date 08.05.2003
Applicant Netfront AS		

Communication pursuant to Article 96(2) EPC

The examination of the above-identified application has revealed that it does not meet the requirements of the European Patent Convention for the reasons enclosed herewith. If the deficiencies indicated are not rectified the application may be refused pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

You are invited to file your observations and insofar as the deficiencies are such as to be rectifiable, to correct the indicated deficiencies within a period

of 4 months

from the notification of this communication, this period being computed in accordance with Rules 78(2) and 83(2) and (4) EPC.

One set of amendments to the description, claims and drawings is to be filed within the said period on separate sheets (Rule 36(1) EPC).

Failure to comply with this invitation in due time will result in the application being deemed to be withdrawn (Article 96(3) EPC).



BARRACO G S  
Primary Examiner  
for the Examining Division

Enclosure(s): 1 page/s reasons (Form 2906)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



1.) An international preliminary examination report has already been drawn up for the present application in accordance with the PCT. The deficiencies mentioned in that report give rise to objections under the corresponding provisions of the EPC.

2.) Since no change have been detected in the present application compared to the PCT publication, the applicant is invited to file the necessary amendments suitable to meet the objection raised in the I.P.E.R. mentioned above.

3.) In particular, to meet the requirements of Rule 27(1)(b) EPC, the document D1 should be identified in the description and its relevant contents should be indicated. The applicant should ensure that it is clear from the description which feature/s of the subject-matter of independent claims are known from the document D1 which, as alternative should be used to build the precharacterizing part of the independent claim(s). Dependencies between claims should also be made congruent, cf. Rule 29 EPC.

Care should be taken in formulating the claims in evidencing the technical difference with respect to the system known in the art, without introducing new subject-matter with respect to the original application (cf. Rule 29, Article 52(2)(c), Guidelines, C-IV, 2.3 and Article 123(2) EPC).

4.) The Applicant is requested to file amendments by way of replacement pages, avoiding unnecessary recasting of the description, the Applicant should also take into account the requirements of Rule 36(1) EPC. In particular, fair copies of the amendments should be filed in triplicate, with a complete list of the pages to be examined.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Docket No.: 10250-0001-20



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

#12

IN RE APPLICATION: Arild O. GAUTESTAD

SERIAL NO.: 09/409,898

GAU: 2178

FILED: October 1, 1999

EXAMINER: C.B. PAULA

FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PUBLICATION AND REVISION OF HIERARCHICALLY ORGANIZED SETS OF STATIC INTRANET AND INTERNET WEB PAGES

**LETTER SUBMITTING DRAWING SHEET(S) RECEIVED**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
Alexandria, VA 22313

JUL 10 2003

Technology Center 2100

SIR:

Responsive to the below indicated communication, the following drawing sheets are submitted herewith:

☒ 19 Replacement Drawing Sheets ☐      New Drawing Sheets

☒ Official Action dated April 10, 2003

☐ Notice of Allowance/Issue Fee dated           

☐ Other dated           

The changes and/or modifications made include the following:

Replacement Drawings required by the Examiner in Official Action dated April 10, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,



22850

*David Bilodeau*

Eckhard H. Kuesters  
Registration No. 28,870  
David A. Bilodeau  
Registration No. 42,325

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220  
(OSMMN 05/2003)

I:\ATTY\RR\PROSECUTION\10520-0001-DWGS LTR.DOC